

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. **FILING DATE** FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 09/648,044 08/25/2000 CHANDRA V. MOULI MIO 0054 PA 6800 7590 07/06/2005 **EXAMINER** KILWORTH GOTTMAN HAGAN & SCHAEFF LLP NADAV, ORI ONE DAYTON CENTRE SUITE 500 ONE SOUTH MAIN STREET **ART UNIT** PAPER NUMBER DAYTON, OH 45402-2023 2811

DATE MAILED: 07/06/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/648,044	MOULI ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Ori Nadav	2811	

zerere and rinning or an ripp our zerer	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Ori Nadav	2811	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 23 June 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the following places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notal Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, affortice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in one with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply more	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
 a)	-	in the final rejection, wh	ichever is later. In
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire l Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or	(b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	-	
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	• •	26/a) and the annexis	to outonoion for
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	dension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complishing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed.	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>			
3. Market The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,			ecause
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co	•	I E below);	
 (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) (c) They are not deemed to place the application in beautiful and/or 		ducing or simplifying	the issues for
appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rei	ected claims	
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1		ootoa olamiio.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	• • •	mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s			(
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).	· -	timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is protected. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:		II be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-14 and 45-57</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	•		
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe ry and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attacl	ned.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by	ut does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowa	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s)	
13. Other:		12, 1	
		ORI NADAV	V'

ORI NADAV PRIMARY EXAMINER Continuation of 3. NOTE: The new limitations of the entire gate oxide layer having a substantially uniform thickness, as recited in claims 1, 3, 12, 45 and 47 warrant further consideration and/or search.